1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAFAEL OCHOA RENDON,

Petitioner,
v.

MICHAEL CHERTOFF, et al.,

Respondents

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT
PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION; DIRECTING

No. C-06-2060 MMC

Doc. 18

PETITIONER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
PETITION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
AS MOOT

Before the Court is petitioner's motion, filed March 20, 2006, for reconsideration of the Court's March 17, 2006 order denying petitioner's motion for a temporary stay of the order of removal.

Because petitioner was removed on March 20, 2006, (see Deiss Decl., filed March 21, 2006, Ex. A), petitioner's motion for reconsideration is DENIED as moot.¹

Further, in light of the removal, petitioner is hereby DIRECTED to show cause, in writing and no later than April 7, 2006, why the instant petition should not be dismissed as moot. Respondents may file a reply to petitioner's response no later than April 14, 2006, at which time the Court will take the issue of whether the petition is moot under submission.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 23, 2006

MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

¹The hearing scheduled for March 24, 2006 is hereby VACATED.